

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

---

In re Application of:	Marko VANSKA <i>et al.</i>	Confirmation No.:	4818
Application No.:	09/824,781	Examiner:	Bruckart, Benjamin R.
Filed:	April 4, 2001	Group Art Unit:	2446

---

For: OPERATING USER PROFILES WITH DISTRIBUTED PROFILE MODEL  
USING A HYBRID TERMINAL

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief of June 3, 2009, please amend the Supplemental Appeal Brief of October 3, 2007 as follows:

Please substitute the following for paragraph VI at page 14:

**VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL**

The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal include whether:

1. Claims 1-19, 21-28, 31-32, and 34-39 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Doi et al. (US 2001/0014911) and Lunsford et al. (US 6,982,962).
2. Claim 20 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Doi and Lunsford and in further view of Rajchel et al. (US 6,496,931).
3. Claim 29 is obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Doi and Lunsford and further in view of Owen et al. (US 6,611,501).

Respectfully Submitted,

DITTHAVONG MORI & STEINER, P.C.

July 2, 2009

Date

/Phouphanomketh Ditthavong/

Phouphanomketh Ditthavong

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 44658

918 Prince Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Tel. (703) 519-9952  
Fax (703) 519-9958